Exponential and Uniform Ergodicity of Markov Processes *

D. Down, S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie University of Illinois and Colorado State University

October 1, 1994

Abstract

Geometric convergence of Markov chains in discrete time on a general state has been studied in detail in [15]. Here we develop a similar theory for φ -irreducible continuous time processes, and consider the following types of criteria for geometric convergence:

- (a) the existence of exponentially bounded hitting times on one and then all suitably "small" sets:
- (b) the existence of "Foster-Lyapunov" or "drift" conditions for any one and then all skeleton and resolvent chains;
- (c) the existence of drift conditions on the extended generator $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ of the process.

We use the identity $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}R_{\beta} = \beta(R_{\beta} - I)$ connecting the extended generator and the resolvent kernels R_{β} , to show that, under a suitable aperiodicity assumption, exponential convergence is completely equivalent to any of (a)–(c). These conditions yield criteria for exponential convergence of unbounded as well as bounded functions of the chain. They enable us to identify the dependence of the convergence on the initial state of the chain, and also to illustrate that in general some smoothing is required to ensure convergence of unbounded functions.

AMS Subject classification: 60J10

Running Head: Exponential Ergodicity of Markov Processes.

 $^{^*}$ Work supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-9205687, EPRI Contract RP 8030-15, and NSF grants ECS 92-16487 and ECS 94-03742

⁰Keywords: Convergence rates, irreducible Markov processes, geometric ergodicity, Lyapunov functions, drift conditions, resolvents, generators

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider a continuous time Markov process $\Phi = \Phi_t, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ on a topological space X. Our goal is to characterize exponential convergence for the process: if $P^t(x, A) = P_x(\Phi_t \in A)$, and π is an invariant measure for P^t , then we will give several sets of equivalent conditions each of which imply Φ is "exponentially ergodic" in the sense that there exists an invariant measure π satisfying

$$||P^t(x,\cdot) - \pi|| \le M(x)\rho^t, \qquad t \ge 0,$$
 (1)

for some finite M(x) and some $\rho < 1$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the total variation norm.

These characterizations of exponential ergodicity, as given in Section 5, Section 6 and Section 7, are in terms of

(a) geometric ergodicity of the embedded skeletons and the resolvent chains; that is, the discrete time chains with transition laws defined respectively as $P^{T}(x, A)$ for fixed T > 0 and

$$R_{\beta}(x,A) = \int_0^\infty \beta e^{-\beta t} P^t(x,A) dt, \quad x \in \mathsf{X}, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X});$$
 (2)

- (b) drift conditions on the extended generator of the process, and on the skeletons and the resolvent chains, all of which are shown to be equivalent themselves;
- (c) behaviour of hitting times for the process on suitable small sets, and in particular exponential bounds on those hitting times.

Some initial results related to (a) were developed in [25], where it was shown that exponential ergodicity of the process Φ follows from the geometric ergodicity of the embedded skeletons or, under appropriate continuity conditions (in t) on the semigroup P^t , of a form of simultaneous

geometric ergodicity of the resolvent chains. These simultaneity and continuity conditions are shown to be redundant in Section 6.

In [17] it was shown that, as in (b), a drift condition on the generator is sufficient to guarantee exponential ergodicity for the process. This generalized results known for countable spaces [27] and for diffusion processes [8] to quite general models, and as shown in (for example) [17, 24], the conditions on the generator then provide practical criteria for evaluating the exponential convergence of specific models. Here we show that such a drift for the extended generator is also necessary for exponential ergodicity.

The conclusions in this paper thus strengthen the known results considerably, since they show all of these approaches to be essentially equivalent. Moreover, once the connections between the drift and regularity conditions are established, we may then deduce continuous time ergodicity results stronger than those in (1) from their discrete time counterparts; and in particular we can show that for appropriate (unbounded) functions f we have

$$||P^t(x,\cdot) - \pi||_f \le M(x)\rho^t, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (3)

where π is an invariant probability measure, and the f-norm $\|\cdot\|_f$ is defined for any signed measure μ by $\|\mu\|_f := \sup_{|g| \le f} |\int \mu(dy) g(y)|$.

2 Discrete time analogues

In order to place these results in context, and because we will use the discrete time results directly, we first review briefly the analogous equivalences known in discrete time. Let $\{\Phi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ denote a Markov chain on a space $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ with the σ -field countably generated: the theory of such chains is developed in [15].

Suppose the chain has the φ -irreducibility property that $\varphi(A)>0$ implies $\mathsf{P}_x(\tau_A<\infty)>0$ for all $x\in\mathsf{X}$, where τ_A is the first hitting time on A and P_x and E_x denote probability and expectation for the chain with initial state x. For such a chain there always exist "small sets" [15, Chapter 5]: that is, sets C such that for some non-trivial probability measure ν and some $n\geq 1, \varepsilon>0$ the n-step transition probability kernel $P^n(x,A):=\mathsf{P}_x(\Phi_n\in A)$ satisfies, for all $x\in C$,

$$P^{n}(x, A) \ge \varepsilon \nu(A), \qquad A \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$
 (4)

We then have [15, Theorem 15.0.1] the following result linking drift towards small sets, hitting times on small sets and rates of convergence of the overall chain.

Theorem 2.1 Suppose that the chain Φ is φ -irreducible and aperiodic. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:

(a) There exists some small set C, some constant $\pi_C > 0$, some $\rho_C < 1$ and $M_C < \infty$ such that for all $x \in C$

$$|P^n(x,C) - \pi_C| \le M_C \rho_C^n. \tag{5}$$

(b) There exists some small set $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $\kappa > 1$ such that the hitting time τ_C on C satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in C} \mathsf{E}_x[\kappa^{\tau_C}] < \infty. \tag{6}$$

(c) There exists some small set C, constants $b < \infty$, $\lambda < 1$ and a function $V \ge 1$, with $V(x_0) < \infty$ for some one $x_0 \in X$, satisfying the drift condition

$$\int P(x, dy)V(y) \le \lambda V(x) + b \mathbb{1}_C(x), \qquad x \in \mathsf{X}.$$
 (7)

Any of these three conditions imply that the set $S_V = \{x : V(x) < \infty\}$ is absorbing and full (that is, satisfies $P(x, S_V) = 1$, $x \in S_V$, and $\pi(S_V) = 1$), where V is any solution to (7) satisfying the conditions of (c); and there then exists a unique invariant probability π and constants r > 1, $D < \infty$ such that for any $x \in S_V$

$$\sum_{n} r^{n} \| \int P^{n}(x, \cdot) - \pi \|_{V} \le DV(x).$$
 (8)

Thus in the discrete case geometric ergodicity, as defined in (8), follows from local geometric convergence as in (5) or geometrically bounded return times as in (6); and, of even more practical importance, is actually equivalent to the existence of a Foster-Lyapunov or drift function V satisfying (7), and that function identifies

- (a) a set on which convergence takes place, namely S_V ;
- (b) the state-dependent bound M(x) as in (1) or (3) as a constant multiple of V;
- (c) the convergence as holding for all "moments of order less than V" as in (8).

In this paper we aim to bring this same level of coherence to the continuous time case.

3 Continuous time Markov processes

We need to develop the appropriate analogues of the drift condition (7) and the hitting time criteria in (6), and to do this we must first give a more formal description of the process structure; we then consider drift conditions in Section 5, and the hitting time conditions in Section 6.

Formally, we assume that $\Phi = \{\Phi_t : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ is a non-explosive Borel right process with transition semigroup (P^t) on a locally compact, separable metric space $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$, and that $\mathcal{B}(X)$

is the Borel field on X. The reader is referred to [1, 23] for details of the existence and structure of such processes; criteria for non-explosivity are given in [17].

The operator P^t acts on bounded measurable functions f and σ -finite measures μ on X via

$$P^t f\left(x\right) = \int_{\mathsf{X}} P^t(x, dy) f(y) \qquad \mu P^t\left(A\right) = \int_{\mathsf{X}} \mu(dx) P^t(x, A).$$

A σ -finite measure π on $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$ with the property $\pi = \pi P^t$ for all $t \geq 0$ will be called invariant.

For any σ -finite measure φ the process Φ is called φ -irreducible if $\varphi(B) > 0 \Longrightarrow \mathsf{E}_x[\eta_B] > 0$, $x \in \mathsf{X}$, where η_B denotes the occupancy time, defined as $\eta_B := \int_0^\infty \mathbbm{1}\{\Phi_t \in B\} \, dt$. As in the discrete time setting, if Φ is φ -irreducible then there exists a maximal irreducibility measure ψ such that ν is absolutely continuous with respect to ψ for any other irreducibility measure ν [15, 28]. We shall write $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X})$ for the collection of all measurable subsets $A \subset \mathsf{X}$ such that $\psi(A) > 0$. When there is an invariant probability measure π , then π and ψ are mutually absolutely continuous, and we can thus identify $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X}) = \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X}) : \pi(A) > 0\}$.

We now describe sampled chains and the associated class of subsets of X called "petite sets"; these play the role of small sets, as in Theorem 2.1, in describing the "center" of the space. To define these, as in [16], suppose that a is a probability distribution on \mathbb{R}_+ , and define the Markov transition function K_a for the chain sampled by a as

$$K_a(x,A) := \int P^t(x,A) \ a(dt), \qquad x \in \mathsf{X}, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X}). \tag{9}$$

Two particular sampled chains with kernels K_a which are fundamental in the continuous time context are skeleton chains and resolvent chains, with $K_a = P^T$, R_β , respectively. We will consider both of these in developing stability properties of the process itself, although we note that in [26]

it is shown that other embedded chains may equally serve to characterize the behaviour of Φ . In the special case of the resolvent with transition law R_1 we denote the chain by $\check{\Phi} = \{\check{\Phi}_k\}$.

A non-empty set $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$ is called ν_a -petite if ν_a is a non-trivial measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$ and a is a sampling distribution on $(0,\infty)$ satisfying

$$K_a(x,\cdot) \ge \nu_a(\cdot), \qquad x \in C.$$
 (10)

In the common situation where the specific measure ν_a is not relevant, we simply call the set *petite*. When the sampling distribution a is degenerate, we will adopt standard discrete time usage and call the set C small.

Petite sets are not rare: for a ψ -irreducible chain every set in $\mathcal{B}^+(X)$ contains a petite set [17]. We note explicitly that if a set is petite for the process, then it is petite for any resolvent simultaneously, with only the sampling measure changing.

In many applications, all non-empty compact sets can be shown to be petite, and this gives a sound intuition for these sets. Criteria to ensure this identification of compacta as petite may be phrased in terms of a "stochastic controllability" condition in models such as diffusion processes, or analogous discrete time nonlinear state space models (cf. [15, Chapter 7] or [9, 20, 19, 12]). More general continuity conditions which imply that compact sets are petite are given in [16].

A ψ -irreducible Markov process Φ will be called *aperiodic* if for some small set $C \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$ there exists a T such that $P^t(x,C) > 0$ for all $t \geq T$, and all $x \in C$. Under aperiodicity, any petite set is small for the process, and is small for any T-skeleton (see the corollary to Theorem 8.1 of [16]). Characterizations of aperiodicity which are (apparently) much weaker than this definition are given in [16], where the structure of periodic chains is also described in detail. Below, it will be

appropriate to assume aperiodicity whenever we consider convergence of transition probabilities as in (1) or (3).

The Markov process Φ is called f-exponentially ergodic, where f is a measurable function from the state space X to $[1, \infty)$, if the f-norm converges as in (3). The Markov process is called simply exponentially ergodic if it is f-exponentially ergodic for at least one $f \ge 1$, as in (1). Note that any exponentially ergodic chain is automatically a π -irreducible positive Harris recurrent chain [7, 16].

As strong as f-exponential ergodicity may appear, we will find it appropriate to set our results within the seemingly stronger context of V-uniform ergodicity, a recent generalization [6, 17, 15] of classical uniform ergodicity (or quasi compactness, as it is often called [22]). When $V \geq 1$ is a measurable function on X, we define V-uniform ergodicity by requiring that

$$||P^{t}(x,\cdot) - \pi||_{V} \le V(x)D\rho^{t}, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (11)

for some $D < \infty, \rho < 1$: that is, (3) holds with M(x) = DV(x) for some constant D. This approach has the advantage that convergence takes place in the operator norm defined for any "kernel" A by

$$|||A|||_V := \sup_{x \in X} [V(x)]^{-1} ||A(x, \cdot)||_V;$$

for discrete time chains, as is shown in Theorem 2.1, V-uniform ergodicity is in fact equivalent to seemingly weaker formulations of exponential ergodicity. As in the discrete time framework, the exponential convergence (11) is equivalent to an exponential rate of mixing for the process (see [15, Theorem 16.1.5]).

4 Generators and resolvents

Frequently, the characteristics used in practice to define the process are not couched in terms of the semigroup P^t , or of the embedded resolvent or skeleton chains, but rather of some form of

generator for the process. There are several different versions of generators; for our purpose it is convenient to adopt the following definition, which is a slightly restricted form of that in Davis [2]. We denote by $D(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$ the set of all functions $f: \mathsf{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists a measurable function $g: \mathsf{X} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for each $x \in \mathsf{X}$, t > 0,

$$\mathsf{E}_x[f(\Phi_t, t)] = f(x, 0) + \mathsf{E}_x\Big[\int_0^t g(\Phi_s, s) \, ds\Big] \tag{12}$$

$$\int_0^t \mathsf{E}_x[|g(\Phi_s, s)|] \, ds < \infty. \tag{13}$$

We write $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}f:=g$ and call $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ the extended generator of Φ ; $D(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$ is called the domain of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

This defines an extension of the infinitesimal generator for Hunt processes. When the process is explosive then the expression (12) is difficult to interpret and may be meaningless: this is one of our main reasons for restricting to non-explosive processes. Conditions for non-explosivity based upon the extended generator are given in [17].

The generator and resolvent essentially characterize one another. This observation will be useful when we develop converses to the drift criteria for regularity.

For a fixed constant $\beta > 0$ we also require the kernel U_{β} , defined by $U_{\beta} = \beta^{-1}R_{\beta}$. These kernels have the interpretations

$$R_{\beta}(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_{x}[f(\Phi_{\xi})] \tag{14}$$

$$U_{\beta}(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{\xi} f(\Phi_{s}) \, ds \right] \tag{15}$$

where ξ is an exponentially distributed random variable, independent of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$, with mean $1/\beta$. We now generalize the definition of R_{β} , U_{β} so that β , the rate of the "sampling time", may depend upon the value of the state $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_t$. Let h be a bounded measurable function on X, and set

$$R_h(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_0^\infty \exp \Big\{ - \int_0^t h(\Phi_s) \, ds \Big\} h(\Phi_t) f(\Phi_t) \, dt \Big]. \tag{16}$$

$$U_h(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_0^\infty \exp \Big\{ - \int_0^t h(\Phi_s) \, ds \Big\} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \Big]. \tag{17}$$

A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is in the domain of R_h if $R_h(x, |f|)$ is finite for all $x \in X$. These kernels were introduced by Neveu in [21] where h is taken to be strictly positive. Setting $U_h(x, E) \equiv U_h \mathbb{1}_E(x)$ defines a kernel on $(X, \mathcal{B}(X))$, which takes on finite values if h satisfies $\inf_{x \in X} h(x) > 0$, although such a bound on h is not necessary in general.

These kernels have an interretation which is entirely analogous to (14)–(15) which will lead to several new results below. Let ξ denote an exponential random variable with unit mean which is independent of the process, and define for any h, r > 0,

$$\tilde{\tau}_{h,r} = \inf\{t > 0 : \int_0^t h(\Phi_s) \, ds \ge r^{-1}\xi\}.$$
 (18)

When $h = \mathbb{1}_C$ for some set C, we use $\tilde{\tau}_{C,r}$. We then have for any positive h, and any f in the domain of R_g , U_g ,

$$R_h(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_x[f(\Phi_{\tilde{\tau}_{h,1}})] \tag{19}$$

$$U_h(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_{h,1}} f(\Phi_s) \, ds \right] \tag{20}$$

These formulae follow directly from the identity $\mathsf{P}(\tilde{\tau}_{h,r} > t \mid \varPhi_0^\infty) = \exp(-r \int_0^t h(\varPhi_s) \, ds)$.

Lemma 4.1 Let h, g be bounded, measurable functions on X with $h \geq g$ and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be in the domain of U_g . Then U_h and U_g satisfy the resolvent equation:

$$U_g f - U_h f = U_h I_{h-g} U_g f = U_g I_{h-g} U_h f, (21)$$

where the "multiplication kernel" I_{h-g} is defined as $I_{h-g}\left(x,A\right):=\left(h(x)-g(x)\right)\mathbb{1}_{A}(x)$.

PROOF Note that the theorem statement differs from Lemma 1.3.1 of Kunita [10] only in that the functions h and g may take on negative values. However, a close examination shows that the proof there is still valid with this modification.

Lemma 4.1 immediately gives the usual resolvent identity, that $U_{\beta} = U_{\alpha} + (\alpha - \beta)U_{\alpha}U_{\beta}$ for any $\alpha > \beta > 0$. From this it follows that

$$R_{\beta} = \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha} \right)^n R_{\alpha}^{n+1}.$$
 (22)

These relations will allow us to transfer properties from one resolvent to another in several results below. To then connect these results back to geometric ergodicity for the process, we will use the kernel U_C^r , which is defined for r > 1 by

$$U_C^r = U_h$$
, for $h = \mathbb{1}_C - [r-1]\mathbb{1}_{C^c}$.

This has the sample path interpretation, just as in (20),

$$U_C^r(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_{C,r}} e^{(r-1)t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right]. \tag{23}$$

As an immediate consequence of the resolvent equation, we obtain an analogous interpretation of U_C^r in terms of the resolvent chain. Define the hitting time to a set C by the resolvent chain (with $\beta = 1$) by

$$\check{\tau}_C = \min\{k \ge 1 : \widecheck{\Phi}_k \in C\}.$$
(24)

Lemma 4.2 Suppose C is any set in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and $r \geq 1$. The kernel U_C^r satisfies the following identity for any positive function f on X:

$$\mathsf{E}_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{\tilde{\tau}_{C,r}} f(\Phi_{t}) e^{(r-1)t} dt \right] = U_{C}^{r}(x,f) = \mathsf{E}_{x} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\check{\tau}_{C}} r^{k-1} f(\check{\Phi}_{k}) \right]. \tag{25}$$

PROOF The first identity is just (23).

We will prove the second equation for |r| < 1; the general case follows by analytic continuation. Substituting h = 1 and $g = \mathbb{1}_C - [r-1]\mathbb{1}_{C^c}$ in (21), we have

$$U_C^r = R_1 + U_C^r (1 - (\mathbb{1}_C - [r-1]\mathbb{1}_{C^c})) R_1 = R_1 + r U_C^r \mathbb{1}_{C^c} R_1.$$
(26)

Iterating (26) gives us

$$U_C^r = r^n U_C^r (I_{C^c} R_1)^n + \sum_{k=1}^n r^{k-1} [R_1 I_{C^c}]^{k-1} R_1,$$

and letting $n \to \infty$ yields for |r| < 1

$$U_C^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^{k-1} [R_1 I_{C^c}]^{k-1} R_1.$$
 (27)

Now using the interpretation that

$$[R_1 I_{C^c}]^{k-1} R_1(x, B) = \mathsf{P}_x(\check{\Phi}_k \in B; \check{\tau}_C \ge k)$$

we see that (27) gives the form (25) as required.

The following key lemma characterizes the extended differential generator for the process Φ in terms of the resolvent chains of the process, and allows us to compare continuous and discrete time drift operations, as will be seen in Theorem 5.1, and other results below. This result may be applied to many situations outside the scope of this paper.

Lemma 4.3 (a) For any β , and any measurable f in the domain of U_{β} , the extended generator satisfies the identity

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}U_{\beta}f = -f + \beta U_{\beta}f. \tag{28}$$

(b) For any bounded measurable g, and any measurable f in the domain of U_g , the extended generator satisfies

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}U_g f = -f + I_g U_g f \tag{29}$$

PROOF For the strong or weak generator Lemma 4.3 (a) is known (see (2.4) in [5] or p. 342 of [11]). We have not seen a proof for the extended generator, although the proof of the result is similar to Lemma 3.2 of [5, p. 174], and will not be included here.

Result (b) follows from (a) and the resolvent equation $U_g = U_\beta + U_\beta I_{\beta-g} U_g$, where β is chosen so that $\beta \geq g(x)$ for all x.

5 Stability in terms of drifts

In this section we consider forms of stability, analogous to (7), which involve a mean drift for some function $V(\Phi_t)$ towards the "center" of the space, as defined by petite sets.

Letting $\{P^t : t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, $\{R_\beta : \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ denote respectively the skeleton kernels, the resolvent kernels, and the extended generator for the process, three intuitively reasonable sets of drift conditions towards a petite set C may be written as follows:

 (\mathcal{D}_T) Drift for skeleton chains For some T>0 there exist constants $\lambda(s)$, bounded for $s\in(0,T]$ and with $\lambda(T)<1$, some $b<\infty$, a petite set C in $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$, and a function $V_T\geq 1$, such that

$$P^s V_T \le \lambda(s) V_T + b \mathbb{1}_C, \qquad s \le T.$$

 $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ Drift for resolvent chains For some $\lambda < 1, b < \infty, \beta > 0$, a petite set C in $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$, and a function $V_{\beta} \geq 1$,

$$R_{\beta}V_{\beta} \leq \lambda V_{\beta} + b\mathbb{1}_{C}$$
.

 $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ Drift for the extended generator For constants b,c>0, a petite set C in $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X}),$ and a function $\widetilde{V}\geq 1,$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{V} \leq -c\widetilde{V} + b\mathbb{1}_C.$$

We note that condition $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ is identical to the condition that $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{V} \leq -c_0\widetilde{V} + b_0$ for finite positive constants c_0 , b_0 , when the function \widetilde{V} is unbounded off petite sets (that is, when the sublevel set $\{x:V(x)\leq n\}$ is either empty or petite for each n). The other two conditions have similar counterparts.

In the next section we will identify a set of solutions to these three drift conditions, which involve the hitting times on petite sets. Our goal here is to show that the drift conditions are all essentially equivalent, and then to show that any one of them suffices for an appropriate form of geometric ergodicity to hold.

Theorem 5.1 The following relations hold for any Markov process Φ :

- (a) Suppose that $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds for some one β and for some function $V_{\beta} \geq 1$. Then $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds with $\widetilde{V} = R_{\beta}V_{\beta}$.
- (b) Suppose $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds for some one β_0 and for some function $V_{\beta} \geq 1$. Then (\mathcal{D}_T) holds for every T with $V_T = R_{\beta}V_{\beta}$, and $\lambda(s)$ can be chosen continuous in s with $\lambda(T) < 1$.
- (c) Suppose $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds. Then $(\widecheck{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds for any β and with $V_{\beta} = \widetilde{V}$.
- (d) Suppose $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds. Then (\mathcal{D}_T) holds for any T and with $V_T = \widetilde{V}$.

- (e) Suppose (\mathcal{D}_T) holds for some one T > 0. Then $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_\beta)$ holds for some $\beta_0 > 0$, with $V_{\beta_0} = V_T$; and hence for all β with $V_\beta = R_{\beta_0} V_T$.
- (f) Suppose (\mathcal{D}_T) holds for some one T>0. Then $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds with $\widetilde{V}=R_{\beta_0}V_T$ for some one β_0 .

Consequently, the three drift criteria $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ - $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ are all equivalent, although the function V and the petite set C may differ at each appearance.

PROOF To prove (a), suppose that $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds and define $\widetilde{V} = R_{\beta}V_{\beta}$. By Lemma 4.3,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\widetilde{V} = \beta \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} U_{\beta} V_{\beta} = \beta (R_{\beta} - I) V_{\beta}$$

$$\leq -\beta (\lambda^{-1} - 1) \widetilde{V} + \lambda^{-1} \beta b \mathbb{1}_{C}, \tag{30}$$

which gives $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$. Conversely, to prove (c) note that if $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds for some \widetilde{V} in the domain of the extended generator, then using Dynkin's Formula exactly as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 of [17], we have for all t

$$e^{ct}P^t\widetilde{V} \le \widetilde{V} + b \int_0^t P^s(x,C)e^{cs}ds. \tag{31}$$

Integrating the bound (31) gives

$$R_{\beta}\widetilde{V}(x) \leq [\beta/(\beta+c)]\widetilde{V}(x) + b\beta \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta t} \int_{0}^{t} P^{s}(x,C)e^{c(s-t)} ds dt$$

$$= [\beta/(\beta+c)]\widetilde{V}(x) + [b/(\beta+c)]R_{\beta}(x,C).$$
(32)

Now denote, for fixed ε , the set $C_{\varepsilon} = \{x : R_{\beta}(x,C) > \varepsilon(\beta+c)/b\}$. From Proposition 5.5.4 of [15] we know that C_{ε} is petite, and with this choice of petite set, with ε sufficiently small, $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds as required.

Using (31) we obtain (d) in a similar manner: for all t we can write (31) as

$$P^{t}\widetilde{V} \leq e^{-ct}\widetilde{V} + b \int_{0}^{t} P^{s}(x, C)e^{c(s-t)}ds. \tag{33}$$

If for fixed t > 0 we use the sampling kernel with density $a(s) = e^{c(s-t)}/[1-e^{-t}]$ then we have (\mathcal{D}_T) provided we use the petite set where $K_a(x,C) \geq \varepsilon c/b[1-e^{-t}]$.

To prove (b), we have that if $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds, then from (a), $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds with $\widetilde{V} = R_{\beta}V_{\beta}$, and then from (d) we obtain the result; note that we can in fact take, for any ε and appropriate choice of C,

$$\lambda(s) = e^{-\beta(\lambda^{-1} - 1)s + \varepsilon}$$

which gives the required structure on the function $\lambda(s)$.

To see (e), observe that if (\mathcal{D}_T) holds then by iteration one can show that

$$P^t V_T \leq M \lambda^n V_T + \frac{Mb}{1-\lambda} + b$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(T)$, $M = \max_{0 \le s \le T} \lambda(s)$, and t is taken of the form t = nT + s for some $0 \le s \le T$. It then follows that for β_0 sufficiently small,

$$R_{\beta_0}V_T \le \lambda_{\beta_0}V_T + \frac{Mb}{1-\lambda} + b,$$

for some $\lambda_{\beta_0} < 1$.

Any function satisfying (\mathcal{D}_T) is unbounded off petite sets as in Lemma 15.2.2 of [15]. It then follows from the above bound on R_{β_0} that $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta_0})$ holds by applying Lemma 15.2.8 of [15].

Finally, we have (f) by combining (e) with (a).

Drift in any of these senses towards a petite set implies exponential ergodicity for an aperiodic process, and conversely exponential ergodicity entails that all of these drift conditions are satisfied, as we now show. Thus the continuous time analogues of the discrete time criterion (c) in Theorem 2.1 all hold.

Theorem 5.2 For a ψ -irreducible, aperiodic Markov process:

- (a) If $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ holds then Φ is $R_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ -uniformly ergodic;
- (b) If (\mathcal{D}_T) holds then Φ is V_T -uniformly ergodic;
- (c) If $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ holds then Φ is \widetilde{V} -uniformly ergodic.

PROOF First suppose that (b) holds. Then by aperiodicity, the set C is small for the skeleton chain $\{\Phi_{kT}: k \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$ (see the corollary to Theorem 8.1 of [16]). Hence from (\mathcal{D}_T) and Theorem 2.1

$$|||P^{nT} - \pi||_{V_T} \le D\rho^n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $D < \infty$, and $\rho < 1$. By (\mathcal{D}_T) we also have for some constant M,

$$P^sV \le MV, \qquad 0 \le s \le T,$$

and it follows from the submultiplicative property of the operator norm that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with t taken of the form t = nT + s for some $0 \le s \le T$,

$$|||P^t - \pi||_{V_T} \le ||P^{nT} - \pi||_{V_T} ||P^s||_{V_T} \le MB\rho^n, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

which implies that the process is V_T -uniformly ergodic.

If (a) or (c) holds, then (b) holds with $V_T = R_{\beta}V_{\beta}$ or $V_T = \tilde{V}$ respectively from Theorem 5.1, and hence V_T -uniform ergodicity holds in these cases also.

Result (b) was used to establish geometric ergodicity for a class of generalized Jackson networks in [13]. Several queueing models and diffusion models are analysed in [16] using a formulation of (c).

As a consequence of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, we have a number of further criteria for exponential ergodicity extending in particular those in [26], where it was required that all resolvents be "uniformly" exponentially ergodic to deduce properties of the process.

Theorem 5.3 For a ψ -irreducible, aperiodic Markov process the following are equivalent:

- (a) the T-skeleton is geometrically ergodic for some one and then any T > 0;
- (b) the R_{β} -resolvent is geometrically ergodic for some one and then any $\beta > 0$;
- (c) Φ is exponentially ergodic.

PROOF To infer (c) from (a) one may apply the contractivity of the total variation norm, as in the proof of the previous theorem. That (c) implies (a) is immediate.

Now from criterion (c) of Theorem 2.1, for any β we have (b) for the resolvent R_{β} if and only if we have $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$; this implies (c) from Theorem 5.2 (a). Finally, one can calculate directly that (c) gives (b), or instead use the fact that (a) implies (\mathcal{D}_T) which implies $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ from Theorem 5.1. \square

We note that if there exists some small set C, some constant $\pi_C > 0$, some $\rho_C < 1$ and $M_C < \infty$ such that for all $x \in C$

$$|P^t(x,C) - \pi_C| \le M_C \rho_C^t, \tag{34}$$

then by applying criterion (a) of Theorem 2.1 to any T-skeleton we have that (a) holds on some full absorbing set S_V . It immediately follows that (b) and (c) also hold with the process restricted to S_V .

6 Drift conditions and exponential regularity

In this section we consider relations between the drift conditions above and forms of stability in terms of "exponential regularity", or boundedness of the mean return time to the center of the state space, again defined in terms of petite sets. In the final section we will then establish a suprisingly strong solidarity between regularity for the resolvent chain and the process, and this then gives new criteria for V-uniform ergodicity.

Our first result shows that the drift conditions in the previous section provide explicit bounds on the exponential behaviour of the hitting times on the sets C involved. To do this we need appropriate definitions of hitting times on petite sets in continuous time. The hitting times to a set A are defined as

$$\tau_A = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \Phi_t \in A\}; \qquad \tau_A(\delta) = \inf\{t \ge \delta : \Phi_t \in A\}. \tag{35}$$

We now have

Theorem 6.1 Suppose that $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ is satisfied for some $V \geq 1$ and some set C. Then for any $\eta \leq c$,

$$V(x) \ge \mathsf{E}_x[e^{\eta \tau_C}] + (c - \eta) \mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_0^{\tau_C} e^{\eta t} V(\Phi_t) \, dt \Big]$$
 (36)

PROOF As in the proof of Theorem 6.1 of [17], the product rule applied to the extended spacetime generator for the function $g(x,t) = e^{\eta t}V(x)$ gives

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}g(x,t) = e^{\eta t}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}V(x) + \eta e^{\eta t}V(x)
\leq (\eta - c)e^{\eta t}V(x) + b\mathbb{1}_C e^{\eta t}$$
(37)

from $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$. Now use Dynkin's formula (see [17, Section 1.3]) with the stopping time $\tau^n = \inf(\tau_C, n, \sup(t: V(\Phi_t) \leq n))$ for fixed n to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{E}_{x}[e^{\eta\tau^{n}}V(\Phi_{\tau^{n}})] &= V(x) + \int_{0}^{\tau^{n}}\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}g(x,t)dt \\
&\leq V(x) + (\eta - c)\mathsf{E}_{x}\int_{0}^{\tau^{n}}e^{\eta t}V(\Phi_{t})dt.
\end{aligned} (38)$$

Since $V \ge 1$ we thus have

$$V(x) \ge \mathsf{E}_x[e^{\eta \tau^n}] + (c - \eta) \mathsf{E}_x \int_0^{\tau^n} e^{\eta t} V(\Phi_t) dt.$$

The process Φ is non-explosive so that $\sup(t:V(\Phi_t)\leq n)\to\infty$ as $n\to\infty$, and hence also $\tau^n\to\tau_C$. Hence our result follows by Fatou's Lemma as $n\to\infty$.

Our next result shows that the solutions to the drift inequalities not only provide bounds to hitting times, but that functions of the hitting times themselves provide solutions to the drift inequalities. This is identical to the situation found in discrete time [15, Chapter 15].

Theorem 6.2 Suppose there exists a function $f \geq 1$, and a closed set $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ for which

$$V_0(x) := 1 + \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} e^{\eta t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right] < \infty, \qquad x \in C^c$$
 (39)

and

$$V_0(x) < M < \infty, \qquad x \in C \tag{40}$$

for some constants $\delta, \eta > 0, M < \infty$. Then for the function $V_0(x)$

- (a) If C is petite then V_0 satisfies (\mathcal{D}_T) for any T > 0.
- (b) If C is petite then V_0 satisfies $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ for any $\beta > 0$.
- (c) For some η_0 , the lower bound $V_0(x) \geq \eta_0 R_1 f(x)$ holds for all x.

PROOF By assumption we have that V_0 is bounded on C, and arguing as in Lemma 4.1 of [14] we have for some $r_1 < \infty$, $d_1 < \infty$,

$$P^{T}V_{0}(x) \le d_{1}r_{1}^{T}, \qquad x \in C, \ T \ge 0.$$
 (41)

This bound my be refined substantially. First write

$$P^{T}V_{0}\left(x\right) = e^{-\eta T} \mathsf{E}_{x} \left[\int_{T}^{\tau_{C}\left(T\right)} f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{t}) e^{\eta t} dt \right] + 1$$

$$= e^{-\eta T} \mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_T^{\tau_C} f(\Phi_t) e^{\eta t} dt \mathbb{1}(\tau_C \ge T) \Big]$$

$$+ e^{-\eta T} \mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_T^{\tau_C(T)} f(\Phi_t) e^{\eta t} dt \mathbb{1}(\tau_C < T) \Big] + 1.$$

$$(42)$$

The last term is bounded as follows. First note that on the event $\{\tau_C < T\}$,

$$\int_T^{\tau_C(T)} f(\varPhi_t) e^{\eta t} dt \le \theta^{\tau_C} \int_0^{\tau_C(T)} f(\varPhi_t) e^{\eta t} dt,$$

where θ is the shift operator on sample space [15]. From this and the Markov property we have

$$\mathsf{E}_{x} \Big[\int_{T}^{\tau_{C}(T)} f(\varPhi_{t}) e^{\eta t} dt \, \mathbb{1}(\tau_{C} < T) \Big] \leq \mathsf{E}_{x} \Big[\mathsf{E}_{\varPhi_{\tau_{C}}} \Big[\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}(T)} f(\varPhi_{t}) e^{\eta t} dt \Big] \Big] \\
\leq d_{1} r_{1}^{T}, \tag{43}$$

where we are using (41) and the assumption that C is closed, so that $\Phi_{\tau_C} \in C$.

Combining (43) with (42) gives

$$P^{T}V_{0} \leq e^{-\eta T} \left(V_{0} - \int_{0}^{T} P^{s} f \, ds \right) + d_{1} r_{1}^{T} e^{-\eta T} + 1, \tag{44}$$

which proves (a).

Multiplying both sides of this bound by $\alpha e^{-\alpha T}$ and integrating over $T \geq 0$ we have, for any $\alpha > 1$ sufficiently large, constants $\lambda < 1, b < \infty, d < \infty$, such that

$$R_{\alpha}V_0 \le \lambda V_0 + b - dR_{\beta}f,\tag{45}$$

where $\beta = \eta + \alpha > \alpha$. This shows that $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha})$ holds.

To obtain $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\alpha_0})$ for $\alpha_0 < \alpha$, observe that by (22), with $\gamma(n) = (\alpha_0/\alpha)[(\alpha - \alpha_0)/\alpha]^n$,

$$R_{\alpha_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(n) R_{\alpha}^{n+1}$$

By replacing (45) with the cruder bound $R_{\alpha}V_0 \leq \lambda V_0 + b$, and hence also

$$R_{\alpha}^{n}V_{0} \leq \lambda^{n}V_{0} + \frac{b}{1-\lambda}, \qquad n \geq 1,$$

we have

$$R_{\alpha_0} V_0 \le \lambda_1 V_0 + b, \tag{46}$$

where $\lambda_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma(n) \lambda^n < 1$, which establishes (b).

We now prove (c). From (45) we have that $R_{\alpha}V_0 \leq V_0 + b - dR_{\beta}f$, and hence also

$$0 \le R_{\alpha}^{n+1} V_0 \le V_0 + (n+1)b - dR_{\alpha}^n R_{\beta} f, \qquad n \ge 0.$$
(47)

Multiplying both sides of this equation by $\alpha^{-1}(1-\alpha^{-1})^n$, summing over n, and applying (22) gives for some d_2 , b_2 ,

$$0 \le V_0 + b_2 - d_2 R_1 R_{\beta} f = V_0 + b_2 - \frac{d_2}{\beta - 1} (\beta R_1 f - R_{\beta} f),$$

where the equality follows from the resolvent equation. By (47) with n = 0 we have that $R_{\beta}f \leq c_2V_0$ for some constant c_2 , and this gives the desired relationship between R_1f and V_0 :

$$R_1 f \le \frac{\beta - 1}{d_2 \beta} (V_0 + b_2) + \frac{1}{\beta} c_2 V_0 \le c_3 V_0, \tag{48}$$

where c_3 is a finite constant. This establishes the theorem.

As a corollary to Theorem 6.2 we obtain solutions to $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$:

Theorem 6.3 Under the conditions of Theorem 6.2, either of the functions

$$V_T^* = \int_0^T P^s V_0 \, ds$$
 or $V_\beta^* = R_\beta V_0$

satisfy $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$.

PROOF From the definition of the generator and Lemma 4.3 we have the pair of identities

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} \int_0^T P^s = P^T - I, \qquad \widetilde{\mathcal{A}} R_\beta = \beta (R_\beta - I).$$

The result follows directly from these and Theorem 6.2 (a) and (b).

The following result gives a more exact solution to the drift inequality $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$.

Theorem 6.4 Suppose that for some r > 1, a measurable function $f \ge 1$, and a set $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we have that the function

$$V_1(x) := U_C^r(x, f) = \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tilde{\tau}_{C,r}} e^{(r-1)t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right]$$

is finite-valued and bounded on C. Then

- (a) If C is petite then V_1 satisfies $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$.
- (b) For some η_1 , the lower bound $V_1(x) \geq \eta_1 R_1 f(x)$ holds for all x.

PROOF From (26) we have that $U_C^r f \ge R_1 f$, which proves (b).

To prove (a) we apply Lemma 4.3 with $g = \mathbb{1}_C - [r-1]\mathbb{1}_{C^c}$:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}}U_C^r f = -f + gU_C^r = -f - (r-1)I_{C^c}U_C^r f + I_C U_C^r f.$$

If $U_{C}^{r}f\left(x\right)$ is bounded on C then this gives $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$.

We now connect the mean hitting times of Φ with mean hitting times for the resolvent chain. This result shows that the conditions of Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4 are essentially equivalent.

Theorem 6.5 (a) For any $r \ge 1$, $\delta > 0$, and any set C,

$$\mathsf{E}_{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}(\delta)} f(\varPhi_{t}) e^{[r-1]t} dt\right] \leq e^{\delta r} \mathsf{E}_{x}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\check{\tau}_{C}} r^{k-1} f(\check{\varPhi}_{k})\right], \quad x \in \mathsf{X}. \tag{49}$$

(b) Suppose that Φ is ψ -irreducible, $C \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$ is closed and petite, and that for some $\delta_0 > 0$, $r_0 \ge 1$,

$$\sup_{x \in C} \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta_0)} e^{(r_0 - 1)t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right] < \infty. \tag{50}$$

If $r_0 > 1$ in (50), then for all sufficiently small r > 1 and all $\delta > 0$, there exists $b(\delta) < \infty$ such that for all x,

$$\mathsf{E}_{x}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\breve{\tau}_{C}} r^{k-1} f(\breve{\boldsymbol{\Phi}}_{k})\right] \leq b(\delta) \mathsf{E}_{x}\left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}(\delta)} f(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{t}) e^{[r-1]t} dt\right]. \tag{51}$$

If $r_0 = 1$ in (50), then (51) holds with r = 1.

PROOF To see (a), note that with $\eta = r - 1$,

$$U_C^r(x,f) := \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^\infty \exp\left\{ \int_0^t - \mathbb{1}_C(\Phi_s) + \eta \mathbb{1}_{C^c}(\Phi_s) \, ds \right\} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right]$$

$$\geq \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} \exp\left\{ \int_0^t - \mathbb{1}_C(\Phi_s) + \eta \mathbb{1}_{C^c}(\Phi_s) \, ds \right\} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right]$$

$$\geq \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^\delta e^{-\delta} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right] + \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_\delta^{\tau_C(\delta)} e^{\eta t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right]$$

$$\geq e^{-\delta(1+\eta)} \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} e^{\eta t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt \right]$$

which proves (49) from (25).

Result (b) is given as Theorem A.3.3 of [3], and we omit the rather lengthy proof. \Box

If one is willing to accept a slightly weaker conclusion, it is possible to remove the assumption in Theorem 6.5 (b) that $\psi(C) > 0$. This may seem a somewhat technical improvement, but in practice it is of some considerable value [4].

Theorem 6.6 Suppose Φ is ψ -irreducible, and let $C \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be a closed petite set, not necessarily in $\mathcal{B}^+(X)$. Let $f \geq 1$ be a function bounded on C, such that for some r > 1, $\delta > 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in C} \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} f(\Phi_t) e^{[r-1]t} \, dt \right] < \infty. \tag{52}$$

Then for some $r_0 > 1$, and $b < \infty$, and a petite set $C_0 \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$,

$$\mathsf{E}_{x} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\breve{\tau}_{C_{0}}} r_{0}^{k-1} f(\breve{\Phi}_{k}) \right] \leq b \left(1 + \mathsf{E}_{x} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau_{C}} f(\varPhi_{t}) e^{[r-1]t} dt \right] \right). \tag{53}$$

PROOF Let $V_0(x) = 1 + \mathsf{E}_x[\int_0^{\tau_C} e^{[r-1]t} f(\Phi_t) \, dt]$. By Theorem 6.2 we have that $R_1 f \leq c_0 V_0$ for some constant c_0 , and we have that $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_\beta)$ holds with this V_0 for $\beta = 1$. By Theorem 15.2.5 of [15] we then have a petite set $C_0 \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X})$, for which V_0 is bounded on C_0 , and for some $r_0 > 1$,

$$\mathsf{E}_x \Big[\sum_{k=0}^{\breve{\tau}_{C_0} - 1} V_0(\check{\varPhi}_k) r_0^k \Big] \le dV_0(x), \qquad x \in \mathsf{X}.$$

Since $R_1 f \leq c_0 V_0$, we also have

$$\mathsf{E}_x \Big[\sum_{k=0}^{\check{\tau}_{C_0} - 1} R_1 f(\check{\Phi}_k) r_0^k \Big] \le c_0 dV_0(x), \qquad x \in \mathsf{X}.$$

By the Markov property, the LHS is exactly $\mathsf{E}_x \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\check{\tau}_{C_0}} f(\check{\varPhi}_k) r_0^{k-1} \right]$, which gives the desired bound.

7 Exponential regularity and exponential ergodicity

The results of the previous section lead us to consider the following conditions, which describe the existence of "strongly" regular sets for the resolvent and for the process. The final goal of this paper is to show in rather more detail that, as suggested by the results above, these are essentially equivalent to each other and to the drift conditions of the previous section. This gives a full description of exponential ergodicity in terms of return times.

 $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_r)$ Geometric regularity of the resolvent chain For some $r \geq 1$, and some petite set $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$, there exist b > 0 and a function $\check{V} \geq 1$ bounded on C such that

$$\mathsf{E}_x \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\check{ au}_C} r^{k-1} \check{V}(\check{oldsymbol{\Phi}}_k) \right] \leq b \check{V}(x), \qquad x \in \mathsf{X}.$$

 (\mathcal{R}_{η}) Exponential regularity of the process For some $\eta \geq 0$ and some closed petite set $C \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X})$, there exist constants $\delta, b > 0$, and a function $V_{\eta} \geq 1$ with V_{η} bounded on C, such that

$$\mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} V_{\eta}(\varPhi_s) e^{\eta s} \, ds \right] \le b V_{\eta}(x).$$

We will again show that these regularity conditions are equivalent. Recall that from Lemma 4.2 we have the identity $U_C^r(x, \check{V}) = \mathsf{E}_x \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\check{\tau}_C} r^{k-1} \check{V}(\check{\Phi}_k) \right]$, so that when $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_r)$ holds we have a solution to $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$, by Theorem 6.4. We will show that in fact the regularity conditions are equivalent to the drift properties (\mathcal{D}_T) - $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ for petite sets. Perhaps the least intuitive part of this result is that (\mathcal{R}_0) or $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_1)$ actually suffices for the conditions with exponential or geometric weighting to hold.

Theorem 7.1 Suppose that Φ is ψ -irreducible, and let C be a closed petite set in $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X})$. The following are equivalent, for any function $V \geq 1$.

- (a) The regularity condition $(\breve{\mathcal{R}}_1)$ holds for $\breve{V} = V$;
- (b) The regularity condition $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_r)$ holds for $\check{V} = V$ and some r > 1;
- (c) The regularity condition (\mathcal{R}_0) holds for $V_0 = V$;
- (d) The regularity condition (\mathcal{R}_{η}) holds for $V_{\eta} = V$ and some $\eta > 0$;
- (e) The drift condition $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_1)$ holds for a function V_1 equivalent to V in the sense that for constants $0 < c_1, c_2 < \infty$,

$$c_1 V \le V_1 \le c_2 V. \tag{54}$$

PROOF It is obvious that (b) \Rightarrow (a). In discrete time the fact that the "non-geometric" form (a) implies the geometric form (b) is shown in [18], using the contraction properties of the V-norm as first observed on countable spaces by [6].

For the discrete time resolvent we also have the equivalence (b) \Leftrightarrow (e) from Theorems 15.2.4 and 15.2.6 of [15].

The equivalence of (a) and (c) follows from the bounds obtained in Proposition 4.3 of [14], provided C is closed and petite.

Trivially (d) \Rightarrow (c), and if we can show (b) \Rightarrow (d) then we are done. Suppose then that (b) holds for some r > 1. By Theorem 6.5 (a), for any δ

$$b\breve{V}(x) \geq \mathsf{E}_x \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\breve{\tau}_C} r^{k-1} \breve{V}(\breve{\varPhi}_k) \right] \geq e^{-\delta r} \mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} \breve{V}(\varPhi_s) e^{[r-1]s} \, ds \right], \qquad x \in \mathsf{X}$$

and so (d) holds as required.

We can now show that the existence of a single petite set satisfying the regularity or drift criteria leads to regularity of the hitting times on all sets $B \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$.

Theorem 7.2 Suppose that any of the drift criteria $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ - $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ or the regularity criteria $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_r)$ - (\mathcal{R}_{η}) hold, and let V denote the function used in the assumed drift or regularity criterion. Then Φ is V-exponentially regular in the sense that for any $B \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X})$ and any $\delta > 0$, there exists $c = c(B, \delta) < \infty$ and $\eta = \eta(B, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$\mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_0^{\tau_B(\delta)} V(\varPhi_s) e^{\eta s} \, ds \Big] \le c V(x).$$

PROOF If any of $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_{\beta})$ - $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}})$ or $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_r)$ - (\mathcal{R}_{η}) hold, then $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_1)$ holds with the function R_1V_0 , where V_0 is equivalent to the function used in the drift or regularity criterion. That is, for constants $0 < c_1, c_2 < \infty$,

$$c_1 V_0 \le V \le c_2 V_0.$$

This is immediate from Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 7.1. Hence with $V_* := \gamma V_0 + R_1 V_0$, $\gamma > 0$, we have for some $\lambda < 1$ and a petite set C,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} R_{1}V_{*} & = & \gamma R_{1}V_{0} + R_{1}R_{1}V_{0} \\ & \leq & \gamma R_{1}V_{0} + \lambda R_{1}V_{0} + b \mathbb{1}_{C} \\ & \leq & [\gamma + \lambda]V_{*} + b \mathbb{1}_{C} \end{array}$$

We thus have that $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_1)$ holds with the function V_* whenever $0 < \gamma < 1 - \lambda$.

Under $(\check{\mathcal{D}}_1)$ with this function V_* it follows from Theorem 15.3.3 of [15] that for any $B \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathsf{X})$ there exists $c_0 = c_0(B)$ and r = r(B) such that

$$U_B^r(x, V_*) \le c_0 V_*(x).$$

By Theorem 6.5 (a) it then follows that

$$\mathsf{E}_x \left[\int_0^{\tau_B(\delta)} V_0(\varPhi_t) e^{[r-1]t} \, dt \right] \le \gamma^{-1} e^{\delta r} c_0 V_*(x).$$

Since by Theorem 6.2 (c) we have that $V_*(x) \leq c_1 V_0(x)$, this implies the result.

The equivalences given in Theorem 7.1 now yield new criteria for V-uniform ergodicity and V-exponential regularity:

Theorem 7.3 For a ψ -irreducible, aperiodic Markov process, if any of the equivalent conditions $(\check{\mathcal{R}}_r)$ - (\mathcal{R}_η) hold for some V, then the process Φ is R_1V -uniformly ergodic.

PROOF This follows immediately on applying Theorem 7.1 to obtain (\mathcal{D}_1) , and combining this with Theorem 5.2 (a).

Theorem 7.3 has recently been applied in [4] to obtain exponential ergodicity for a class of feedforward queueing networks.

Finally we show that any function f which is sufficiently regular can be smoothed to give a function f^* for which the process is f^* -uniformly ergodic.

Theorem 7.4 Suppose that Φ is a ψ -irreducible, aperiodic Markov process, and that $f \geq 1$ satisfies (39) and (40) for a closed petite set $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{X})$ and constants $\delta, \eta > 0, M < \infty$.

Then the process Φ is f^* -uniformly ergodic for some function f^* equivalent to R_1f .

Proof

We will take f^* to be the function V_0 defined in (39), for some suitable $\eta < 1$. From Theorem 6.2 (c) we have that V_0 is bounded below by a multiple of $R_1 f$, and by definition V_0 is less than $1 + \mathsf{E}_x \int_0^\infty e^{\eta t} f(\Phi_t) dt \le 1 + R_1 f$. Hence f^* is equivalent to $R_1 f$ as required.

By Theorem 6.2 (a) we see that V_0 satisfies (\mathcal{D}_T) for any T > 0 and so from Theorem 5.2 (b) we have the required ergodicity.

To conclude, we note that when considering f-exponentially regular processes, we have not been able to establish f-geometric ergodicity without imposing additional assumptions on f. The following counterexample shows that one must indeed "smooth" the function f in some way, for example by considering $f_{\beta}^* = R_{\beta}f$ or $f_T^* = \int_0^T P^s f \, ds$ as in Theorem 6.3, if one wants to infer f-exponential ergodicity from f-exponential regularity.

Consider the Markov process Φ on the unit circle $X = S^1$ in the complex plane, with deterministic counterclockwise motion $\Phi_{t+s} = e^{2\pi i t} \Phi_s$ up until the first time that $\Phi = 1$, at which time a jump occurs with probability 1/2 so that

$$P(\Phi_{t+} \in A \mid \Phi_t = 1) = 1/2[\delta_1(A) + \mu(A)],$$

where μ denotes Lebesgue measure on X, and δ_1 is the dirac measure concentrated on 1.

This process is μ -irreducible and aperiodic, and the measure μ is an invariant probability.

In fact, the state space S^1 is petite for this process, so that Φ is uniformly ergodic.

Let $\{r_n, n \geq 1\}$ denote an ordering of the rational points on S^1 , and define $V: S^1 \to [0, \infty)$ as

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \\ n & \text{if } x = r_n \end{cases}$$

We have that $\mu(V) = 1$, and moreover, using the petite set $C = S^1$,

$$\mathsf{E}_x \Big[\int_0^{\tau_C(\delta)} r^t V(\varPhi_t) \; dt \Big] = \int_0^{\delta} r^t \, dt < \infty.$$

This shows that the process satisfies (\mathcal{R}_{η}) with this V for any r > 1. However Φ is clearly not V-geometrically ergodic, since for any x, n,

$$\sup_{n \le t \le n+1} \mathsf{E}_x[V(\varPhi_t)] \ge 2^{-n-1} \sup_{0 \le \zeta \le 1} V(e^{2\pi i \zeta}) = \infty.$$

D.Down and S.P. Meyn

1308 W. Main Street

Coordinated Science Laboratory

Urbana, IL 61801

R.L.Tweedie,

Department of Statistics,

Colorado State University,

Fort Collins CO 80523, USA

References 30

REFERENCES

- [1] R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Getoor. *Markov processes and potential theory*, volume 29. Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [2] M. H. A. Davis. Markov Models and Optimization. Chapman and Hall, London, 1993.
- [3] D. Down. Stability of queueing networks. PhD thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, 1994.
- [4] D. Down and S. P. Meyn. Stability of acyclic multiclass queueing networks. IEEE Trans. Automat. Control, 1994. (to appear).
- [5] S. N. Ethier and T. G. Kurtz. Markov Processes: Characterization and Convergence. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1986.
- [6] A. Hordijk and F.M. Spieksma. On ergodicity and recurrence properties of a Markov chain with an application. Adv. Appl. Probab., 24:343-376, 1992.
- [7] H. Kaspi and A. Mandelbaum. Regenerative closed queueing networks. Stochastics, 1992. To appear.
- [8] R. Z. Khas'minskii. Stochastic Stability of Differential Equations. Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Netherlands, 1980.
- [9] W. Kliemann. Recurrence and invariant measures for degenerate diffusions. Ann. Probab., 15:690-707, 1987.
- [10] H. Kunita. Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [11] M. Loève. Probability Theory II. Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 4th edition, 1978.

References 31

- [12] S. P. Meyn and P. E. Caines. Asymptotic behavior of stochastic systems processing Markovian realizations. SIAM J. Control Optim., 29:535–561, 1991.
- [13] S. P. Meyn and D. Down. Stability of generalized Jackson networks. Ann. Appl. Probab., 4:124-148, 1994.
- [14] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Generalized resolvents and Harris recurrence of Markov processes. Contemporary Mathematics, 149:227-250, 1993.
- [15] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Markov Chains and Stochastic Stability. Springer-Verlag, London, 1993.
- [16] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes II: Continuous time processes and sampled chains. Adv. Appl. Probab., 25:487–517, 1993.
- [17] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. Stability of Markovian processes III: Foster-Lyapunov criteria for continuous time processes. Adv. Appl. Probab., 25:518-548, 1993.
- [18] S. P. Meyn and R. L. Tweedie. State-dependent criteria for convergence of Markov chains. Ann. Appl. Probab., 4:149–168, 1994.
- [19] A. Mokkadem. Sur un modéle autorégressif non linéair: ergodicité et ergodicité géométrique.
 J. Time Ser. Anal., 8:195–204, 1987.
- [20] A. Mokkadem. Ergodicité des systèmes stochastiques polynomiaux en temps discret. in new trends on nonlinear control theory, 122:404-413, 1988. Lecture notes in control and information sciences.
- [21] J. Neveu. Potentiel Markovien récurrent des chaînes de Harris. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 22:7-130, 1972.

References 32

- [22] D. Revuz. Markov Chains. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2nd edition, 1984.
- [23] M. Sharpe. General Theory of Markov Processes. Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [24] O. Stramer, R.L. Tweedie, and P.J. Brockwell. Existence and stability of continuous time threshold ARMA models. 1993. In preparation.
- [25] P. Tuominen and R. L. Tweedie. Exponential decay and ergodicity of general Markov processes and their discrete skeletons. *Adv. Appl. Probab.*, 11:784–803, 1979.
- [26] P. Tuominen and R. L. Tweedie. The recurrence structure of general Markov processes. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 39:554-576, 1979.
- [27] R. L. Tweedie. Criteria for ergodicity, exponential ergodicity and strong ergodicity of Markov processes. J. Appl. Probab., 18:122–130, 1981.
- [28] R. L. Tweedie. Topological conditions enabling use of Harris methods in discrete and continuous time. *Acta Applic. Math.*, 34:175–188, 1994.