

POWERING THE NEW ENGINEER TO TRANSFORM THE FUTURE

Department of Civil & Coastal Engineering

Supply Market Analysis for Integration of Ridesharing and Public Bus

RTS presentation | Oct. 3rd, 2019

Jiahua Qiu, Wang Peng, Dr. Lili Du

Introduction

Public transit (Bus) vs. Ridesharing services

<u>Complement</u>: raise transit ridership?

Users of ridesharing modes use transit more frequently in large, population dense cities, e.g. New York, Chicago (Feigon and Murphy, 2016; Pew Research Center, 2016; Zhang et al. 2018).

<u>Compete: reduce transit ridership?</u>

- Uber competes transit in small city; complements transit in large city. (Hall et al. 2018)
- Ridesharing makes 6% reduction in bus services (competes); 3% reduction in light rail services (competes); 3% increase in commuter rail services. (complements). Survey from 4074 individuals in 7 major cities (Boston, Chicago...) and suburban areas. (Clewlow and Mishra 2017)

Introduction

Key factors affecting public transit & ridesharing mobility services

- Relationship unclear.
- **Factors:** city size, population, trip type, time...

Objective

- Discover where and when competes and complements.
- Provide integrative service guidance.
- Help transit increase ridership.
- Expected data analysis results
 - Provide **demand responsive** transit services.
 - Discover first/last mile service demand.
 - Give **suggestions** for new transit stations and routes.



Whim APP

Research Approach Overview



Data Collection

Ridesharing mobility data

- DiDi data in Chengdu, China.
- **Vehicle trajectory data** (coordinates, 2-4s).
- Pick-up, drop-off time\location.

Transit data

- Transit **stops** and routes **coordinates**.
- Transit arriving time at each stop.





Figure 1. Map of Second Ring Road of Chengdu City

Methodology

Spatiotemporal statistical analysis

- Data in **3D space** (time and location)
- Cut the space → small cells.
- Calculate ridesharing (R_r) and transit usage (R_t) ratios within cells.
- Competitive area: R_t ≈ R_r.
- Complementary area: R_r >> R_t or R_t >> R_r
- **<u>Output</u>**: Project into x-y \rightarrow heat map.



Methodology

Convolution LSTM Spatiotemporal Learning

- Convolutional neural network (CNN) is used to extract spatial features.
- Long short-term memory (LSTM) memorize captured information, extract temporal features.
- Predict spatiotemporal pattern → ConvLSTM



Figure 2. mechanisms of ConvLSTM

<u>Consistent Ridesharing (CR) Zones</u>

Main Idea

- CR zones that are always dominated by ridesharing services as CR zones.
- CR zone ← passed by ridesharing trips (routes) during most of the time no matter where OD are located.
- Connecting CR zones: **potential transit route for regular bus service**.
- Individual CR zones → potential transit hubs for micro-bus.



Figure 3. (a) Projected pattern of consistent ridesharing area during T (eg. 8am-9am); (b) Potential transit hub and route integrating ridesharing and transit; (c) Schematic representation of feeder minibus system for potential bus hub.

• Jiahua Qiu, Wang Peng, Lili Du (University of Florida)

Ridesharing Zones Evolvement over A Day

A case study

- White area \rightarrow Ridesharing dominant.
- Some areas are consistent white \rightarrow CR zone \rightarrow consistent demand.
- Some areas vary colors throughout a day.
- Planning suggestion
 - \rightarrow Potential new route $`_$ \Rightarrow
 - \rightarrow Potential micro-bus hubs •



Figure 4. Pattern of ridesharing services frequency ratio distribution.

Suggestion for new route and station. Study horizon T, Oct 3st, 2016, Monday, 8AM to 9PM.

Potential Transit First/Last Mile (FLM) Zones

- A zone: ridesharing dominant.
- B zone: transit dominant
- A is first/last mile (FLM) zone if:
 - A connects to B zone.
 - There are first/last mile orders in A, e.g. $A_1 \rightarrow A_2, A_1 \rightarrow A_1$
- Validation with bus station distribution and land use check.



Figure 5. Schematic representation of first/last mile area pattern.

Potential Transit First/Last Mile (FLM) Zones Validation

A case study

- FLM zones and FLM demand distribution
- Validated with transit services distribution
 - Identified FLM zones have lower transit services density than surrounding areas.
 - Difficult to utilize transit services in FLM zones.



Figure 6. First/Last mile zones identification and validation. (a) Identified FLM zones, Oct 1st, 2016 to Oct^{30th}, 2016, 8AM to 8PM. (b) transit services distribution map. (c) Overlap of FLM zones and transit services distribution.

Potential Transit First/Last Mile (FLM) Zones Validation

- Validate top 9 FLM zones with **land use**.
- FLM zones → Residential (□) or Commercial (□), both have high population density/commute trips.

Micro-Bus

- Around metro line or suburban areas, but with low transit services.
- District is large; bus station is sparse within the area so it is not well connected to metro line → micro-bus (1,3; 4; 8)

New Route or Station

 The coverage of metro line is not enough such as FLM zones → new routes (2, 5, 6, 7)



Figure 7. Land use validation for top FLM zones.

Transit First/Last Mile Zones Evolvement over A Day

- Visualize FLM zones evolvement.
- Malls are closed → Commercial area is not active until 10am.
- Residential areas near metro station are more active than other areas.



Oct.3th 8:00:00-9:00:00



Figure 8. Pattern of first/last mile zones. Study horizon T, Oct 3st, 2016, Monday, 8AM to 9PM.

Conclusions

Main results

- Competitive /complementary areas between bus and ridesharing mods.
- Spatiotemporal transit first/last mile zone identification.
- Suggestion for new transit routes and stations.

Potential collaborations

- Assist micro-transit service by identifying service areas.
- Help in discovering first/last mile demand.
- Looking for other trip data to test/improve our model.



Reference

- 1. Feigon, S., Murphy, C., 2016. Shared mobility and the transformation of public transit. TCRP Research Report 188.
- 2. Pew Research Center, 2016. Shared, collaborative and on demand: The new digital economy.
- 3. Zhang, Y. and Y. Zhang, Exploring the relationship between ridesharing and public transit use in the United States. International journal of environmental research and public health, 2018. 15(8): p. 1763.
- 4. Hall, J.D., C. Palsson, and J. Price, Is Uber a substitute or complement for public transit? Journal of Urban Economics, 2018. 108: p. 36-50.
- 5. Clewlow, R.R., Mishra, G.S., 2017. Disruptive transportation: The adoption, utilization, and impacts of ridesourcing in the United States (No. UCD-ITS-RR-17-07). Research Report–UCD-ITS-RR-17-07. University of California, Davis.

Thank You ! lilidu@ufl.edu

Jiahua Qiu, Wang Peng, Lili Du (University of Florida)