

## Solution to Probability Homework 2

1. According to the Binomial Theorem,  $(x + y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^{n-k} y^k$ .

Therefore,

$$[(1-p) + p]^N = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} (1-p)^{N-m} p^m.$$

Since the binomial distribution is given by  $\text{Bin}(m|N, p) = \binom{N}{m} p^m (1-p)^{N-m}$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{m=0}^N \text{Bin}(m|N, p) = \sum_{m=0}^N \binom{N}{m} p^m (1-p)^{N-m} = [(1-p) + p]^N = 1.$$

2. We show that for multivariate Gaussian, the conjugate prior on the mean of Gaussian is also Gaussian as the univariate case can be derived by setting the dimension to 1. Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^B$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times B}$  be the mean and covariance matrix for the Gaussian distribution

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{B/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}-\boldsymbol{\mu})}.$$

Given data  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ , the likelihood is

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \prod_{i=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{BN/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{N/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})}.$$

Define a prior for  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  as

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{B/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\boldsymbol{\mu}_0)}.$$

Then the posterior is

$$\begin{aligned} p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) &\propto p(\mathcal{D}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) p(\boldsymbol{\mu}|\boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{BN/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{N/2} (2\pi)^{B/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0|^{1/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) - \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \\
&= C_1 - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 + N\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} \\
&= C_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}) \boldsymbol{\mu} - 2\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \left( \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \\
&= \left[ \boldsymbol{\mu} - (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \right]^T (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}) \\
& \quad \left[ \boldsymbol{\mu} - (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right) \right] + C_2
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are constants involving  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0$ , the posterior is also a Gaussian

$$p(\boldsymbol{\mu} | \mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 &= (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1} \left( \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}_i + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \right), \\
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1 &= (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_0^{-1} + N\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. Given prior  $\text{Dir}(\mathbf{p} | (2, 4, 3)) \propto p_1 p_2^3 p_3^2$  where  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)^T$  and likelihood  $\text{Mult}((8, 3, 2) | \mathbf{p}) \propto p_1^8 p_2^3 p_3^2$ , the posterior is

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\mathbf{p} | (8, 3, 2), (2, 4, 3)) &\propto \text{Mult}((8, 3, 2) | \mathbf{p}) \text{Dir}(\mathbf{p} | (2, 4, 3)) \\
&= p_1^9 p_2^6 p_3^4.
\end{aligned}$$

4. The log-likelihood function of a Gaussian given independent samples  $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
\log p(X | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) &= \log \prod_{i=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log p(\mathbf{x}_i | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ -\frac{B}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\} \\
&= -\frac{NB}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{N}{2} \log |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}).
\end{aligned}$$

5. Let  $p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\mu}) = \prod_{k=1}^K \mu_k^{x_k}$  where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_K)$ . The log-likelihood function given independent samples  $X = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$  is

$$\log(p(X|\boldsymbol{\mu})) = \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}_i|\boldsymbol{\mu})\right) = \log\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^K \mu_k^{x_{ik}} = \log\prod_{k=1}^K \mu_k^{m_k}$$

where  $m_k = \sum_{i=1}^N x_{ik}$ . So  $\log(p(X|\boldsymbol{\mu})) = \sum_{k=1}^K m_k \log \mu_k$ .

6. The objective function is

$$J(\{\mathbf{c}_m\}, \sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^N \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M w_m e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{c}_m\|^2} \right)^2.$$

Taking the derivative of  $J$  with respect to  $\mathbf{c}_m$  gives

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{c}_m} = \frac{2w_m}{\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^N \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M w_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{c}_m) \right) K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{c}_m) (\mathbf{c}_m - \mathbf{x}_n).$$

Taking the derivative of  $J$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \sigma} = -2 \sum_{n=1}^N \left\{ \left( y_n - \sum_{m=1}^M w_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{c}_m) \right) \left( \sum_{m=1}^M w_m K(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{c}_m) \|\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{c}_m\|^2 \sigma^{-3} \right) \right\}.$$

The update formulas for  $\mathbf{c}_m$  and  $\sigma$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_m &\leftarrow \mathbf{c}_m - \Delta t \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{c}_m} \\ \sigma &\leftarrow \sigma - \Delta t \frac{\partial J}{\partial \sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

7. Let  $\mathbf{z} = (x, y)^T$ ,  $J(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}$  where  $\mathbf{A} = \text{diag}(4, 9)$ . Using Lagrange multiplier, we have

$$L(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z} - \lambda (\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z} - 1).$$

Taking the derivative of  $L$  with respect to  $\mathbf{z}$  and setting it to zero, we have

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{z}} = 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} - 2\lambda\mathbf{z} = 0,$$

i.e.  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \lambda\mathbf{z}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{z}$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\lambda$  is the corresponding eigenvalue. Plugging  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} = \lambda\mathbf{z}$  into the original  $J(\mathbf{z})$ , we have

$$J(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{z}^T \lambda \mathbf{z} = \lambda.$$

Hence the minimum of  $J$  subject to the constraint is the smallest eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$ , i.e. 4, the  $\mathbf{z}$  that minimizes  $J$  is the corresponding eigenvector, i.e.  $\mathbf{z} = (1, 0)^T$ , or  $\mathbf{z} = (-1, 0)^T$ .